



Shri Anil Kumar Garg,
2852, Block-C,
Ranjit Avenue,
Amritsar-143001

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o The Director,
Public Instruction (Colleges),
Sikhiya Bhawan, E Block 4th Floor,
PSEB Complex, Phase-VIII, Mohali.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o The Director,
Public Instruction (Colleges),
Sikhiya Bhawan, E Block 4th Floor,
PSEB Complex, Phase-VIII, Mohali.

Respondents

Appeal Case No.3728/2017

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of order, if any of FAA	Date of Second Appeal/ Complaint
04.07.2017	Nil	10.08.2017	Nil	11.10.2017

Present: Appellant- None.
Respondents- Sh. Jagdeep Singh, Deputy Director, O/o DPI (Colleges).

ORDER

The Commission has made following observations on 30.07.2019:

13.06.2019:-

"It is a long standing case having been transferred from the Bench of Prof. Viney Kapoor Mehra who has demitted the office in the Commission. From perusal of the file, it is suggested that a show cause notice for imposition of penalty has already been issued for willful suppression of information on the PIO O/o Director, Public Instruction (Colleges), Punjab.

The Commission is intrigued that despite the express directions and issue of show cause notice, no tangible action has been taken by the respondents. Therefore, once again, the PIO is directed to provide a lucid response/reply to the information seeker under intimation to the Commission, failing which penal consequences shall follow. Be it noted that no further opportunity shall be afforded."

Contd...pg.....2



-2-

Appeal Case No.3728/2017

30.07.2019

None is present. The matter is deferred to be heard on 12.09.2019. Final opportunity is afforded to the PIO to explain his conduct. Be it noted that the show cause notice stands already issued and no further opportunity shall be provided."

22.10.2019

The case has come up today. Sh. Jagdeep Singh, Deputy Director, O/o DPI (Colleges) Punjab, is present. He submits that the appellant was duly informed. The inordinate delay according to him is attributable to a Senior Assistant, who has been sitting over the papers. He has submitted in writing that the concerned assistant has been suspended.

The Commission finds that the appellant has been informed and the delinquent official is being taken to task disciplinarily. Such being the situation the Commission feels that no further intervention is required. The appeal is **disposed**.

22.10.2019

**Sd/-
(Yashvir Mahajan)
State Information Commissioner**

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
RED CROSS BUILDING, SECTOR-16, MADHYA MARG, CHANDIGARH
Tele No. 0172-2864112, FAX No. 0172-2864125, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com
Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



Smt. Surinder Kaur W/o Late Sh.Malkit Singh
Street No.4, Guru Nanak Nagar
Near Railway Gate, Kacha Malk Road,
Jagraon, District Ludhiana.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer-cum-
O/o Principal Secretary,
Defence Services Welfare, Punjab
Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority
O/o Principal Secretary,
Defence Services Welfare, Punjab
Chandigarh.

Respondents

Appeal Case No.2900/2019

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of order, if any of FAA	Date of Second Appeal/ Complaint
19.01.2019	Nil	04.04.2019	Nil	08.08.2019

Present: Appellant- None.
Respondents- Sh. Hardeep Singh, Superintendent.

ORDER

The respondents are present. The perusal of the file reveals that the application was duly transferred to the PIO in the Department of Home Affairs and Justice. The notice erroneously has been sent to the Department of Defence Services Welfare. They are exempted from future hearings. Meanwhile, PIO in the Department of Home and Affairs is directed to file a written reply to the notice of the Commission before the next date of hearing.

As the undersigned bench shall be demitting its office, the case file is transferred to the Deputy Registrar to put it up before the Hon'ble CIC for allocation to another bench as deemed appropriate.

22.10.2019

Sd/-
(Yashvir Mahajan)
State Information Commissioner

CC: The PIO, O/o Department of Home Affairs and Justice, Punjab Civil Sectt., Sector-1, Chandigarh.

CC: Deputy Registrar.



Sh. Jasbir Singh,
S/o Sh. Harbans Singh,
R/o Jalal Khera, PO-Sular,
District-Patiala.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Joint Development Commissioner,
Department of Rural Development and Panchayat,
Mohali.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Joint Development Commissioner,
Department of Rural Development and Panchayat,
Mohali.

Respondents

Appeal Case No.1987/2019

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of order, if any of FAA	Date of Second Appeal/ Complaint
11.03.2019	Nil	11.04.2019	Nil	29.05.2019

Present:

Appellant- Sh. Jasbir Singh;
Respondents- Sh. Azadwinder Singh, Superintendent, O/o ADC (Development).

ORDER

The Commission has made following order on 27.08.2019:

“The appellant has sought an information pertaining to the contingent expenditure having been incurred from the year 2008 to 2018 in execution of NREGA scheme in Patiala. From the perusal, the Commission feels the information is quite sizable and its provision per-se shall erode the resources of the public authority considerably.

The Commission directs the respondents to allow him an inspection of the record in contention after intimating him definite place, date and time in writing within a week from today; and thereafter, they shall provide him certified copies of the documents, thus identified by him. The Commission further feels that a cap of one hundred documents, which may be relevant to him, is desirable to be given. The appellant shall provide them payment of the applicable fees.



-2-

Appeal Case No.1987/2019

The appellant has sought an adjournment and it is granted."

The case has come up today. The respondents have communicated that the appellant has been advised twice to come and inspect the record. However, he has failed to do so. The appellant has already sought indiscriminate/voluminous information. He does not seem serious in pursuing the matter, despite the candid directions of the Commission. The Commission believes that no public purpose shall be served in keeping this appeal alive. The same is disposed for want of proper pursuit by the appellant.

Disposed.

22.10.2019

**Sd/-
(Yashvir Mahajan)
State Information Commissioner**



Sh. Gurmeet Singh,
S/o Sh. Surmukh Singh,
2072, Bhai Mastan Singh Nagar,
Sri Muktsar Sahib.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Block Development & Panchayat Officer,
Sri Muktsar Sahib.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o District Development & Panchayat Officer,
Sri Muktsar Sahib.

Respondents

Appeal Case No.1345/2019

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of order, if any of FAA	Date of Second Appeal/ Complaint
16.12.2018	Nil	23.01.2019	Nil	09.04.2019

Present: Appellant- None.
Respondents- Sh. Jaswant Singh, BDPO;
Sh. Vijaypal Singh, Panchayat Secretary.

ORDER:

The following order was passed on 12.09.2019:

18.06.2019:-

"The appellant has sought the information about the estimates of the interlocking work having been executed in the streets of Village Chibranwali, District Sri Muktsar Sahib during the period from 01.04.2017 to 30.11.2018 along with the cross sections.

Shri Vijaypal Singh, Panchayat Secretary has brought along a copy of the information purported to have been sent to the appellant. Its perusal suggests it to be a wishy-washy and abstract. The concerned Junior Engineer reportedly is silent and is not sharing the information.

The Commission considers him to be a deemed PIO and desires him to provide the information of the estimates of the works along with entries in the Measurement Book to the information seeker within 10 days positively from the receipt of this order, failing which it shall be considered as a willful obstruction of flow of the information and the penal consequences shall follow."

Contd....pg....2



-2-

Appeal Case No.1345/2019

30.07.2019

“Shri Hakam Singh, BDPO Sri Muktsar Sahib has come present to represent the Junior Engineer. He submits that in compliance with the order passed by the Commission, a copy of the cross-section of the work done, as submitted by the Junior Engineer, has been provided to the appellant. We have seen it. It does not fulfill the technical requirement of describing the details in terms of the measurement of the work executed. The Commission is also intrigued to find that the Measurement Book as on date despite the work having been completed about a month ago, has not been written. While directing the BDPO to provide the details of the cross-section, as discussed above, the Commission desired the Director, Rural Development & Panchayats, Punjab to look into this serious irregularity and take appropriate action.”

30.07.2019

“The case has come up today. Sh. Iqbal Singh, A.E, has come present and says that the technical details available on the Measurement Book have been provided to the information seeker. However, the details of the bills and vouchers are with Panchayat Secretary who is on leave due to indisposition of his son. Sh. Iqbal Singh, A.E, assures that as soon as he joins, he would arrange to provide the copies of the documents to the information seeker.

The Commission underlines that this is a long standing case any further delay by the Panchayat Secretary in providing the information shall invite the imposition of

Contd...pg...3



-3-

Appeal Case No.1345/2019

penalty for sure and other consequential implications.”

The case has again come up today. Sh. Jaswant Singh, BDPO, Sri Muktsar Sahib, is present. He states that the record complete in all respects has been furnished to the information seeker. It has been acknowledged by the appellant as well. A copy of it has been produced in the court, which has been placed on record. The Commission feels that the appellant has been adequately informed. No malafide is attributable to the officials. The appeal is **disposed**.

22.10.2019

**Sd/-
(Yashvir Mahajan)
State Information Commissioner**

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
RED CROSS BUILDING, SECTOR-16, MADHYA MARG, CHANDIGARH
Tele No. 0172-2864112, FAX No. 0172-2864125, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com
Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



Sh. Sandeep Singh
S/o Sh. Kulwinder Singh
R/o H.No.162-Near Khakh Patti Gurdwara
Gobindghar, PO-Jugiana, Distt., Ludhiana.

Appellant

Versus

Asstt. Public Information Officer-cum-
Police Post Incharge, Pb. Police,
On Ludhiana-Chandigarh Road,
Ludhiana

First Appellate Authority
O/o Police Commissioner,
Mini Secretariat, Ferozepur Road,
Ludhiana.

Respondents

Appeal Case No.3385/2019

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of order, if any of FAA	Date of Second Appeal/ Complaint
11.08.2018	Nil	02.09.2019	Nil	17.09.2019

Present: Appellant- Sh. Sandeep Singh;
Respondents- SI Sh. Pawandev Singh, In charge RTI Cell,
HC Sukhdev Singh.

ORDER

SI Sh. Pawandev Singh, In Charge, RTI Cell, is present on behalf of respondents. He submits that the complaint filed by the appellant is relates to some financial transactions. The matter is under probe with ASI Sh. Gurmeet Singh, Police Post Ishwar Colony. He further says that despite numerous summons, the appellant has refused to join the proceedings. Being that as it is, the respondents are directed to send him the copies of complaint filed against him and being probed unless there is a justifiable cause with the respondents to withhold it in terms of Section 8 (1) (h) of the RTI Act, 2005. They are directed to do so before the next date of hearing.

Since this Bench shall be demitting its office soon on the completion of its tenure, the case is forwarded to the Deputy Registrar to put up before the Ld. CIC to entrust it to another Bench as it may deem appropriate.

22.10.2019

Sd/-
(Yashvir Mahajan)
State Information Commissioner

CC: Deputy Registrar.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
RED CROSS BUILDING, SECTOR-16, MADHYA MARG, CHANDIGARH
Tele No. 0172-2864112, FAX No. 0172-2864125, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com
Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



Sh.Rakesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate
H.No.8/237, Jagraon Road,
Mandi Mullanpur, Distt. Ludhiana.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o Director, Bureau of Investigation,
Punjab Police HQs, Sector-9,
Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority
O/o Director, Bureau of Investigation,
Punjab Police HQs, Sector-9,
Chandigarh.

Respondents

Appeal Case Nos.3391, 3392 and 3393/2019

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of order, if any of FAA	Date of Second Appeal/ Complaint
06.09.2019	Nil	12.09.2019	Nil	17.09.2019

Present: Appellant- None;
Respondents- Sh. Ram Prashad, Sr. Assistant.

ORDER

On the request of the appellant due his indisposition, the matter adjourned.

Since this Bench shall be demitting its office soon on the completion of its tenure, the case is forwarded to the Deputy Registrar to put it up before the Ld. CIC to entrust it to another Bench as deemed appropriate.

22.10.2019

**Sd/-
(Yashvir Mahajan)
State Information Commissioner**

CC: The SSP (Rural), Jagraon, for necessary action.

CC: Deputy Registrar.



Sh. Tejinder Singh, Advocate
R/o Vill. Bholapur, PO Ramgarh
Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Principal Secretary to Govt. of Punjab
Department of Revenue,
Pb.Civil Sectt., Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority
O/o Principal Secretary to Govt. of Punjab
Department of Revenue,
Pb.Civil Sectt., Chandigarh.

Respondents

Appeal Case No.1714/2019

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of order, if any of FAA	Date of Second Appeal/ Complaint
14.02.2019	Nil	14.03.2019	Nil	08.05.2019

Present: Appellant- None.
Respondents- None.

ORDER

The following order was passed by the Commission on 22.08.2019:

The appellant has sought to know the outcome of the recommendations sent to the Government of Punjab in the Department of Revenue following an enquiry conducted by the vigilance department in which the revenue officials were indicted for their collusion and conspiracy to alienate the government property.

None is present on behalf of the respondents. A communication has been received from the PIO, wherein it has been submitted that they have asked for the copy of the enquiry report conducted by the Vigilance Bureau. The appellant has shown us a copy of memo dated 09.04.2015 sent by the vigilance bureau to the Secretary, Government of Punjab

Contd....pg...2



-2-

Appeal Case No.1714/2019

in Department of Vigilance, Chandigarh which suggests that enquiry number 3 dated 25.02.2014 was sent to the Government of Punjab in department of Vigilance by Vigilance bureau. Thus, the position taken by the respondents is not in keeping with the documents submitted before the Commission. They are desired to look into it and inform the appellant suitably before the next date of hearing positively."

The case has come up today. The respondents have failed to come up with appropriate reply to inform the appellant about the status of the matter. A final opportunity is afforded to them, failing which penal consequences shall follow.

Since this Bench shall be demitting its office soon on the completion of its tenure, the case is forwarded to the Deputy Registrar to put it up before the Ld. CIC to entrust it to another Bench as deemed appropriate.

22.10.2019

**Sd/-
(Yashvir Mahajan)
State Information Commissioner**

CC: Deputy Registrar.



Sh. Tejinder Singh, Advocate
 R/o Vill. Bholapur, PO Ramgarh
 Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
 O/o SDM-cum-Licensing &
 Registering Authority, Baba Bakala,
 District Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority
 O/o SDM-cum-Licensing &
 Registering Authority, Baba Bakala,
 District Amritsar.

Respondent

Appeal Case No.1705/2019

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of order, if any of FAA	Date of Second Appeal/ Complaint
14.02.2019	Nil	14.03.2019	Nil	08.05.2019

Present: Appellant- None.
 Respondents- None.

ORDER

The following order was passed by the Commission on 22.08.2019:

The appellant has asked for following information:

1. ਇਹ ਲਾਇਸੈਂਸਿੰਗ ਐਂਡ ਰਜਿਸਟਰੇਸ਼ਨ ਅਥਾਰਟੀ ਦਫਤਰ ਵਿਚ ਕਲੱਰਕਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਜਾਰੀ ਕੀਤੀਆਂ ਆਈ.ਡੀ. ਦਿੱਤੀਆਂ ਜਾਣ।
2. ਇਹ ਕਿ ਸਾਲ ਜੁਲਾਈ 2018 ਤੋਂ 15-02-2019 ਤੱਕ ਨਾਨ ਕਮਰਸ਼ੀਅਲ ਗੱਡੀਆਂ ਦੀ ਰਜਿਸਟਰੇਸ਼ਨ ਦਾ ਬਿਊਰਾ ਦਿੱਤਾ ਜਾਵੇ ਅਤੇ ਉਸ ਨਾਲ ਜੋ ਟੈਕਸ ਭਰਿਆ ਗਿਆ ਹੈ ਉਸ ਦੀ ਕਾਪੀਆਂ ਦਿੱਤੀਆਂ ਜਾਣ।
3. ਸਮਾਰਟ ਚਿੱਪ ਕੰਪਨੀ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਆਰ.ਸੀ. ਪ੍ਰਿੰਟ ਅਤੇ ਲਾਇਸੈਂਸ ਪ੍ਰਿੰਟ ਕਰਨ ਲਈ ਜਾਰੀ ਹੋਈਆਂ ਸਮਾਰਟ ਕਾਰਡਾਂ ਦੀ ਗਿਣਤੀ ਅਤੇ ਉਸ ਦੇ ਸੀਰੀਅਲ ਨੰਬਰ ਦੱਸੇ ਜਾਣ। ਇਸ ਵਿੱਚ ਕਿੰਨੇ ਖਰਾਬ ਹੋਏ ਉਹਨਾਂ ਦਾ ਬਿਊਰਾ ਦਿੱਤਾ ਜਾਵੇ।
4. ਪੱਕੇ ਲਾਇਸੈਂਸਾਂ ਦੀ ਟਰਾਈ (ਟੈਸਟ) ਦਾ ਰਿਕਾਰਡ ਜੁਲਾਈ 2018 ਤੋਂ 15-02-2019 ਤੱਕ ਦਾ ਦਿੱਤਾ ਜਾਵੇ।
5. ਦਫਤਰ ਵਿਚ ਟਰੈਫਿਕ ਚਲਾਨ ਦਾ ਹੋਈ ਆਮਦਨ ਦਾ ਬਿਊਰਾ ਦਿੱਤਾ ਜਾਵੇ।



-2-

Appeal Case No.1705/2019

The respondents have sent a reply to the appellant with a copy to the Commission. The respondents are absent. The appellant says that the information with regard to point no. 1, 2, 4 and 5 is satisfactory. However, they have failed to inform him about the missing smart chip cards. The respondents are directed to provide him the information before next date of hearing and explain the delay simultaneously."

The case has come up today. The appellant vide his email dated 02.10.2019 has communicated his satisfaction towards receipt of the information.

Disposed.

22.10.2019

**Sd/-
(Yashvir Mahajan)
State Information Commissioner**



Sh. Jog Raj
President, Gram Vikas Sabha
Village Karnana, Tehsil Nawanshahar,
Dist. S.B.S. Nagar (Nawanshahar)

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Block Development & Panchayat
Officer (Election Cell), Banga,
District SBS Nagar (Nawanshahar).

First Appellate Authority-cum-
Distt. Development & Panchayat Officer,
SBS Nagar (Nawanshahar).

Respondents

Appeal Case No.1501/2019

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of order, if any of FAA	Date of Second Appeal/ Complaint
27.12.2018	26.02.2019	27.02.2019	Nil	23.04.2019

Present: Appellant- None;
Respondents- None.

ORDER:

The following order was made by the Commission on 27.06.2019:

The appellant is seeking information about the documents attached with the nomination papers filed by one Shri Balram Singh with the Returning Officer of Gram Panchayat, Karnana, Block Banga, District SBS Nagar (Nawanshahar) for the election of a Panch held during the year 2018. The respondents say that the documents in question, are in a sealed cover in the office of BDPO Banga and as such, cannot be provided.

The Commission is not inclined to accept this contention. The nomination papers and the information contained therein, in fact, is to be pro-actively brought to public notice by the Returning Officer as per the dictates of Election Commissions in India. There is no provision under the RTI Act which entitles them to withhold the information except in case

Contd....pg...2



-2-

Appeal Case No.1501/2019

of stay from the Court or pendency of the issue in an Election Petition. That not being the case, the respondents are directed to provide certified copies of the documents available with the respondents by following the procedure as laid down in Panchayat Election Rules.”

The case has come up today. The appellant vide his letter dated 14.10.2019 has communicated his satisfaction towards receipt of the information.

Disposed.

22.10.2019

**Sd/-
(Yashvir Mahajan)
State Information Commissioner**